

## Example Abstract Submission - 2025 NAMSS Call for Abstracts

Abstract ID: 1752604

Session Title: A Roadmap for NPDB Reports and HCQIA Immunity:  
How to avoid the many Pitfalls

Abstract Format: 60 minute concurrent session

Abstract topic: Quality/ Peer review

Abstract Status: Complete

### Speaker(s)

- Kimberly Daniel, J.D. (she/her/hers)

### Organisation:

- Hancock, Daniel & Johnson, P.C

### Role:

- Primary Presenter

### Gender:

- Female

### Race:

- Caucasian or white

### Presentation Experience:

- No

**Please upload your resume or CV.**

- Daniel\_K\_Med\_Staff\_Bio\_2023.docx

**Do you have a relevant financial relationship to disclose:**

- No

*After careful consideration and researching other healthcare association conferences including large events such as Becker's, HIMSS and HFMA, NAMSS will no longer be offering honoraria to conference speakers. NAMSS will be reinvesting the honoraria dollars into speaker training and development, conference enhancements, and attendee scholarships. The NAMSS Professional Development Council (PDC) made this recommendation to the Board of Directors, who approved this change for the 48th Educational Conference & Exhibition. With this change, NAMSS is now aligned with other healthcare associations. If your abstract is selected for presentation at the NAMSS Annual Conference, you will receive complimentary registration, as well as an invitation to the NAMSS President's Reception, a VIP invite-only event. NAMSS continues to explore avenues to best invest our funds for the greatest benefit of our members. We appreciate your understanding and look forward to seeing you in Denver in 2024.*

I acknowledge the above compensation package.

## Session Description

---

Has this material been presented or are you scheduled to present this material at any state conference, credentialing software users group, etc. before NAMSS?

- NO

### Target Audience

- Mid-level
- Advanced MSP

### If this session can be cross-referenced, which topic?

- Accreditation/Regulatory
- Healthcare Systems
- Quality/Peer Review

### NAMSS Webinar

- No

### Preferred Setup

- Lecture: 1
- Roundtable Discussion: 3
- Panel Discussion: 2
- Other:

If other, please explain...

## Session Description

With the NPDB's expansive interpretation of events and actions that must be reported, physicians are increasingly turning to litigation to challenge reports or potential reports by hospitals. Understanding when NPDB reports are required and how to meet the requirements without creating liability can be challenging. This presentation will review reporting requirements, examine recent cases, and share best practices for submitting required NPDB reports while maximizing the likelihood that HCQIA immunity will apply.

## Outline

15 min - Intro to NPDB Reporting Requirements

20 minutes - Review cases challenging NPDB reports as defamatory or otherwise wrongful and the success or failure of HCQIA immunity as a defense

15 minutes - review hypothetical NPDB reports and analyze strengths and weaknesses

10 minutes Q&A

## Learning Objectives

- Recognize adverse clinical actions that must be reported
- Recommend action to support HCQIA immunity for mandatory NPDB reports
- Draft mandatory NPDB reports while minimizing potential liability

## Tomorrow's MSP® Skillsets and Core Functional Areas

---

**Please select up to 5 Tomorrow's MSP® Skillsets relevant to your session:**

Analytical Thinking

Legal

Risk Management

Communication

Medical Staff Bylaws & Policies/Procedures

**Please select up to 3 relevant Core Functional Areas for your session:**

Conducts, Participates In, and Maintains Current Clinical Competency Evaluations and Peer Reviews

Complies with Accreditation Standards and Regulatory Standards

Facilitates Medical Staff Functions